


**RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER****JUN 07 2005****IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**In re the Application of: **Schmidl et al.**Attorney Docket No.: **TI-29425**Serial No.: **09/605,610**Examiner: **Anh-Vu H Ly**Filed: **June 28, 2000**Art Unit: **2667**For: **WIRELESS COMMUNICATIONS SYSTEM WITH CYCLING OF UNIQUE CELL
BIT SEQUENCES IN STATION COMMUNICATIONS****INTERVIEW SUMMARY UNDER 37 CFR 1.133(b)**

June 7, 2005

Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450I hereby certify that the above correspondence is being facsimile
transmitted to the Patent and Trademark Office on June 7, 2005.
Robert N. Rountree, Reg. No. 39,347


A telephonic interview was conducted between Examiner Anh-Vu H Ly and Robert N. Rountree, Attorney for Applicants, on June 7, 2005. A summary of that interview in the format of form PTOI-413 is given below.

- (A) Application No.: 09/605,610
- (B) Applicant: Schmidl et al.
- (C) Examiner: Anh-Vu H Ly
- (D) Date: June 7, 2005
- (E) Type: Telephonic
- (F) Attorney for Applicant: Robert N. Rountree, Reg. No. 39,347
- (G) No exhibit or demonstration was conducted.
- (H) Claims 1, 39, and 48 were discussed.
- (I) Prior Art: Applicants' admitted prior art (AAPA) was discussed.

TI-29425, Page 1

(J) Claim 1 was discussed and distinguished from AAPA. Claims 39 and 48 were discussed with reference to Figure 8 and page 17, lines 12-24. Examiner acknowledged understanding the invention and distinction of claim 1 over AAPA. Examiner acknowledged support for claims 39 and 48 and said he would wait for a written Response. Examiner further stated he would consider a restriction requirement for claims 39 and 48. Rountree stated he could not arbitrarily cancel claims 39 and 48.

Respectfully submitted,



Robert N. Rountree
Attorney for Applicant
Reg. No. 39,347

Robert N. Rountree, LLC
70360 Highway 69
Cotopaxi, CO 81223
Phone/Fax: (719) 783-0990